

State of Louisiana

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana (CPRA)

2011/2012 Annual Inspection Report

for

EAST MUD LAKE MARSH MANAGEMENT PROJECT (CS-20)

State Project Number CS-20 Priority Project List 2



June 29, 2012 Cameron Parish

Prepared by:

Stan Aucoin CPRA Lafayette Field Office 635 Cajundome Blvd. Lafayette, LA 70596

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	on	.1							
II.	I. Inspection Purpose and Procedures1									
III.	Project De	escription and History	.2							
IV.	Summary	of Past Operation and Maintenance Projects	.5							
V.	Inspection	Results	.6							
VI	Conclusio	ns and Recommendations	.8							
		Appendices								
Ap	pendix A	Project Features Map								
Ap	pendix B	Photographs								
Ap	pendix C	Three Year Budget Projections								
Ap	pendix D	Field Inspection Notes								

I. Introduction

The East Mud Lake Marsh Management project area consists of 8,054 acres (3,222 ha) located in the Calcasieu/Sabine Basin in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. The project is bounded by the southern Apache Louisiana Minerals, Inc. Company property line to the south, La. Hwy. 27 to the west, the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge north of Magnolia Road, and an existing step levee and property line near Oyster Bayou to the south (See Appendix A).

The East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project was authorized by Section 303(a) of Title III Public Law 101-646, the Coastal Wetlands Planning Protection and Restoration Act (CWPPRA) enacted on November 29, 1990 as amended and approved on the third Priority Project List. The Mud Lake Project has a twenty –year (20 year) economic life, which began in April 1996.

II. Inspection Purpose and Procedures

The purpose of the annual inspection of the East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project (CS-20) is to evaluate the constructed project features to identify any deficiencies and prepare a report detailing the condition of project features and recommended corrective actions needed. Should it be determined that corrective actions are needed, CPRA shall provide, in the report, a detailed cost estimate for engineering, design, supervision, inspection, and construction contingencies, and an assessment of the urgency of such repairs (O&M Plan, 2004). The annual inspection report also contains a summary of maintenance projects which were completed since completion of constructed project features and an estimated projected budget for the upcoming three (3) years for operation, maintenance and rehabilitation. The three (3) year projected operation and maintenance budget is shown in Appendix C. A summary of past operation and maintenance projects completed since completion of the Mud Lake Project are outlined in Section IV.

An inspection of the East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project (CS-20) was held on June 29, 2012 under sunny skies and hot temperatures. In attendance were Stan Aucoin and Jody White from CPRA, Dale Garber representing NRCS, and Scott Rosteet representing Apache Corporation. The annual inspection began at approximately 10:45 a.m. at Structure # 6 and ended at Structure #13 at approximately 1:30 p.m.

The field inspection included a complete visual inspection of most of the project features. Conditions of features not inspected on this visit were verified by Mr. Scott Rosteet of Apache Louisiana Minerals, Inc. Staff gauge readings where available were used to determine approximate elevations of water, rock weirs, earthen embankments, steel bulkhead structures and other project features. Photographs were taken at each project feature (see Appendix B) and Field Inspection notes were completed in the field to record measurements and deficiencies (see Appendix D).

III. Project Description and History

The East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project (CS-20) was completed in April 1996 and involved the installation and maintenance of six variable crest culverts with flap gates, three variable crest culverts with slots, one gated culvert, five culverts with flap gates, one variable crest box structure, two earthen plugs, approximately 5,000 linear feet of shoreline repair on E. Mud Lake, and approximately 40,600 linear feet of levee repair along part of the project area boundary (the step canal). These structures are designed to assist drainage, stabilize salinity and water levels and allow ingress and egress of fisheries species. The principle project features of the East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project include the following:

- ES-6- 2- 36" x 40' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side. The weir inlet has 2- 4" vertical slots, each with a 3" x 6" x 6' vertical timber stop log. The weir inlet has a total of 52- 3" x 6" x 4'-7" timber stop logs. ES-#6 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-7- 2- 36" x 40' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side. The weir inlet has 2- 4" vertical slots, each with a 3" x 6" x 6' vertical timber stop log. The weir inlet has a total of 52- 3" x 6" x 4'-7" timber stop logs. ES-#7 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-8- 2 -36" x 40' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side. The weir inlet has 2-4" vertical slots, each with a 3" x 6" x 6' vertical timber stop log. The weir inlet has a total of 52-3" x 6" x 4'-7" timber stop logs. ES-#8 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-9a- 1- 36" x 25' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side and aluminum flap gate on the north side. The weir inlet has a total of 26- 3" x 6" x 4'-11" timber stop logs. ES-# 9A replaces an existing structure.
- ES-9b- 1- 48" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (8 gauge), with aluminum canal gate on the south side and aluminum flap gate on the north side. ES-# 9B replaces an existing structure.
- ES-5- 1 36" x 35' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side. The weir inlet has a total of 26 -3" x 6" x 4'-11" timber stop logs. ES-#5 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-11-1 -36" x 35' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the south side and aluminum flap gate on the north side. The weir inlet has a total of 26-3" x 6" x 4'-11" timber stop logs. ES-# 11 replaces an existing structure
- ES-4 5 36" corrugated aluminum pipe with aluminum variable crest weir on the west side and aluminum flap gate on the east side. ES-#4 is an existing structure installed by FINA.
- ES-3- 1 36" corrugated aluminum pipe with aluminum variable crest weir on the north side and aluminum flap gate on the south side. ES-#3 is an existing structure installed by FINA.
- ES-1- 1 36" x 40' corrugated aluminum pipe (10 gauge), with 10' aluminum variable crest weir inlet on the north side and aluminum flap gate on the south side.

The weir inlet has a total of 26-3" x 6" x 4'-11" timber stop logs. ES-#1 is a new structure.

- ES-17-1 65' sheetpile structure with one (1) 7' wide variable crest weir/boat bay. The weir has a total of 12- 4" x 6" x 6'-11" timber stop logs. ES-#17 is a new structure.
- ES-13- 1 160' steel sheetpile bulkhead with two (2) 7' wide variable crest weirs and two (2) 7' aluminum flap gates. The weir inlet has a total of 26- 4" x 6" x 6'-11" timber stop logs. ES-#13 is a new structure.
- ES-14-15- approximately 5,000 linear feet of earthen embankment constructed along the Mud Lake Shoreline.
- ES-16- earthen plug
- ES-19-1 24" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (12 gauge), with aluminum flap gate on the west side. ES-#19 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-20-1 24" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (12 gauge), with aluminum flap gate on the west side. ES-#19 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-21-1 24" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (12 gauge), with aluminum flap gate on the west side. ES-#19 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-22-1 24" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (12 gauge), with aluminum flap gate on the west side. ES-#19 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-29-1 24" x 30' corrugated aluminum pipe (12 gauge), with aluminum flap gate on the west side. ES-#19 replaces an existing structure.
- ES-29a- earthen plug

40,600 linear ft. – levee refurbishment along the Step Canal

The Calcasieu Ship Channel is 1 mi (1.6 km) east of the project area and provides an avenue for high salinity water (4–32 ppt) and rapid water movement into the East Mud Lake project area via West Cove, Oyster Bayou, and Mud Bayou. These connections facilitate increases in turbidity and scouring within the project area. The construction of La. Hwy 27 in 1936 reduced the input of freshwater from the west (USDA-SCS 1994). In the 1950's, portions of the project area were impounded by construction of Magnolia Road and a levee system on the north, east, and south (figure 1). Analysis of aerial photos of the project area indicates a marsh loss rate of 76 ac/yr (30.4 ha/yr) from 1953 to 1983 (USDA-SCS 1992). Excluding Mud Lake, the land to open water ratio deteriorated from 99:1 in 1953 to 70:30 by 1983.

Another problem in the project area is flooding of the marsh for prolonged time periods. Construction of La. Hwy. 27 to the west and La. Hwy. 82 to the south have decreased avenues for drainage from the western and southern areas of the project. This has lead to prolonged periods of high water levels and "ponding," which has resulted in the deterioration of the vegetation (USDA-SCS 1994). Subsidence and sea level rise have also exacerbated the problem, resulting in a relative water level increase of 0.25 in/yr (0.64 cm/yr) from 1942 to 1988 (Penland et al. 1989). The East Mud Lake project addresses these problems by increasing the total number of drainage outlets for the area.

The project area has been divided into two hydrologically separate Conservation Treatment Units (CTUs) that are managed independently (figure 1). CTU 1 contains Mud Lake and is managed passively. Structures and features in CTU 1 consist of vegetative plantings, earthen

plugs, culverts with flap gates and variable-crest culverts. The variable-crest culverts at stations 6, 7, and 8 are set at 6 in (15 cm) below marsh level with vertical slots open except when salinities exceed 15 ppt. The variable-crest culvert at station 13 is set at 6 in (15 cm) below marsh level (BML) with flap gates locked open except when salinities exceed 7 ppt.

CTU 2 is actively managed and has drawdown capabilities in order to encourage shallow water areas to revert to emergent vegetation. Two drawdown events were planned for the first five years of the project. Structures and features present in CTU 2 consist of vegetative plantings, variable crest culverts with flap gates, a gated culvert, and a variable-crest box structure (figure 1). Phase I emphasizes curtailing marsh erosion and reclaiming emergent marsh by implementing a partial drawdown from February 15-July 15. All flap gates at variable-crest culverts 1, 3, 4, 5, 9a, and 11 are allowed to operate with all stop logs removed. Stoplogs are set at 12 in (30.48 cm) above marsh level (AML) on the variable crest box structure at station 17. The screw gate at station 9 is opened and the flap gate allowed to operate.

Phase II, the maintenance phase, emphasizes stabilization of salinity and water levels while ensuring ingress and egress of fisheries species. During this phase of operation, flap gates at stations 3, 4, 5, 9a, 9b, and 11 are locked open. Stoplogs are set at 6 in (15 cm) below marsh level at stations 1, 3, 4, 9a, and 11 while at station 5, one bay is set at 6 in (15 cm) BML and one bay at 12 in (30.48 cm) BML. The screw gate at station 9b is opened and all stop logs removed from station 17. To protect marsh vegetation during periods of high salinity, the ingress gates are closed when salinity inside the project area exceeds 15 ppt at stations 3 or 5.

Vegetation plantings were installed through a cooperative effort by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources (LDNR), Soil and Water Conservation District, and Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) from June 5 through July 8, 1995. A total of 7,200 *Spartina alterniflora* (smooth cord grass) trade gallons were planted along the step levee in CTU 2 (figure 2). The cut bank configuration of most of the Mud Lake shoreline limited plantings to 480 plants in areas adjacent to structures 17, 13, and the earthen plug west of structure 17 in CTU 1.

Construction was completed May 1, 1996. The project objectives are to prevent wetland degradation by reducing vegetative stress, thereby improving the abundance of emergent and submergent vegetation and to stabilize the shoreline of Mud Lake through vegetative plantings. Specific goals are to (1) decrease the rate of marsh loss, (2) increase vegetative cover along the shoreline of East Mud Lake, (3) increase percent cover of emergent vegetation in shallow open-water areas, (4) increase abundance of vegetation in presently vegetated portions of the project area, (5) reduce water-level fluctuations to within 6 in (15 cm) BML to 2 in (5.08 cm) AML and salinity levels to 15 ppt or less, (6) decrease the duration and frequency of flooding over emergent marsh, (7) decrease the mean salinity in CTU 2, and (8) increase vertical accretion in CTU 2. Maintaining fisheries abundance is not a specific goal as addressed in the project documentation. However, because of concerns regarding potential fishery impacts, it has been included in the monitoring plan.

The area east of CTU 2, south of Oyster Bayou and Mud Bayou (reference area 1), was selected as the best reference area for the evaluation of the water level, salinity, and fisheries monitoring elements. The area north of Magnolia Road (reference area 2) is a suitable reference area for the evaluation of the vegetative, accretion, water-level, salinity, fisheries, and soil monitoring elements. The project area and both reference areas are classified as brackish marsh (Chabreck and Linscombe 1988) and contain mainly organic Bancker and Creole soils with ridges of Mermentau soils (USDA-NRCS 1995). All are directly influenced hydrologically by the CSC and are dominated by *Spartina patens* (marsh hay cord grass).

IV. Summary of Past Operation and Maintenance Projects

<u>General Maintenance:</u> Below is a summary of completed maintenance projects and operation tasks performed since April 1996, the construction completion date of the East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project (CS-20).

December-1999 LDNR: This maintenance project included the installation of approximately 600 tons of stone riprap around Structure #4, aluminum fabrication and installation of flap gate lifting devices and a stop log channel repair at Structure #4, approximately 950 linear feet of earthen levee repair, and placement of approximately 100 tons of stone riprap at Structures 6, 7, 8, 9a & 9b. Construction was completed in December 1999. The costs associated with the engineering, design and construction of the East Mud Lake Maintenance Project are as follows:

Construction: \$113,848.21
Engineering & Design: \$In house
Construction Oversight/As built surveys: \$11,902.28

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST: \$125,750.49

(Does not include costs associated with in-house design.)

March 2010 M&M Electric: This maintenance project included complete replacement of Structure No.4 (five barrel 48 inch diameter structure, 2,300 tons of 30# class rock) and general repairs with 30# class rock installation at Structure Nos. 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11. Total rock placement at all of these structures was approximately 1,500 tons. Other maintenance included repairs to structure 9a & 9b (gear box, flap gate) and 175 LF of pile cap replacement at structure No.13. Construction was completed in February 2011. The costs associated with the engineering, design and construction of the 2010 East Mud Lake Maintenance Project are as follows:

Engineering & Design: \$ 116,307.00 Construction: \$1,415,327.00 Construction Oversight/As built surveys: \$ 121,890.00

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST: \$1,653,524.00

December, 2011 Simon & Delany, LLC: This event was a PO issued to Simon & Delany for the replacement of stoplogs that were stolen from Structure 4.

TOTAL COST

\$2,600.00

Structure Operations: In accordance with the operation schedule outlined in the Operation and Maintenance Plan, structures were manipulated as required by Apache personnel. A contract between CPRA and Apache Louisiana Minerals, Inc. for operation of the structures has been executed effective April 2007.

V. Inspection Results

ES-6 –2-36" culverts with stop logs, and a 4" fish slot

The condition of Structure No. 6 is very good. The timber piles, stop logs, grating, etc. are in good condition. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 1).

ES-7 – 2-36" culverts with stop logs, and a 4" fish slot

Structure No. 7 is also in very good condition. The timber piles, stop logs, grating, etc. are in good condition. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 2).

ES-8 – 2-36" culverts with stop logs, and a 4" fish slot

Structure No. 8 is in very good condition. The timber piles, stop logs, grating, etc. are in good condition. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 3).

ES-9a -1- 36" culvert w/ stop logs & flap gate

Structure No. 9a is in good condition and functioning as intended. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 4).

ES-9b – 1- 48" culvert w/ sluice gate and flap gate

Structure No. 9b is in good condition. Vandals have broken the flapgate lifting arm. Apache has filed a police report. The structure is still functional and all other components are in good shape. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 5).

ES-11 – 1- 36" culvert w/ stop logs & flap gate

The structure is in good condition. Rock has stabilized the bank on both sides of the structure. (Photos: Appendix B, Photos 6 & 7).

ES-5 –1- 36" culvert w/ stop logs & flap gate

The structure itself is in good condition. Rock placed here has worked very well also. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 8 - 10).

ES-4 – 5- 48" culverts w/ stop logs & flap gates

This structure was completely replaced with a new 48 inch diameter five barrel drainage structure, including timber supports, and rock armoring. The pre-existing structure No. 4 was abandoned in place by driving steel sheet piles through the mid-section of the culverts. Vandals have stolen locks, stoplog locking devices and stoplogs. They have placed their own locks on some of the bays. They have also cut, and replaced with their own, one of the boards on the boat barrier that was installed on the lake side of the project area. Police reports have been filed. The dirt placed on top of the new structure has settled significantly and will be monitored. Sinkholes have developed on the stoplog side of the structure and will also have to be closely monitored. (Photos: Appendix B, Photos 11 - 14).

ES-3 – 1- 36" culvert w/ stop logs & flap gates

This is also a pre-existing structure that was incorporated into the CS-20 Project. Walkways are in excellent shape. Rock placed around the structure has stabilized the banks. (Photos: Appendix B, Photos 15 & 16).

ES-1 – 1- 36" culvert w/ stop logs & flap gates

This structure is in good condition. Walkways have been tacked into place. No vandalism at this structure. Rock has stabilized the banks. (Photo: Appendix B, Photos 17 & 18).

ES-17 – variable crest weir w/ boat bay

The sheet pile cap is severely rusted out on both sides of the structure. The locking tabs on the landing side of the stop log slots are missing. The warning sign is missing. The padlocks on the stop log locking devices have rusted and cannot be operated. The metal pile cap covers are rusted out. Erosion has occurred on both ends of the structure to the extent that water is bypassing the structure on both sides. CPRA, Apache and NRCS agree that no maintenance work will be performed at this site unless or until another drawdown within the project area is required or needed. Should a decision be made to perform a drawdown in the area, consideration will have to be given to replacing the entire sheet pile wall. (Photo: Appendix B, Photos 19 & 20).

ES-13 – sheet pile bulkhead w/ 2 variable crested weirs & flap gates

This structure is in very good condition. Flow is now possible through the structure. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 21).

ES-19, 20, 21, 22, & 29 - 24" culverts w/ flap gates

These structures were not directly inspected on this inspection as agreed jointly by CPRA and NRCS personnel. According to Mr. Rosteet, they are in working order and functioning as designed. CPRA and NRCS agree that no maintenance is required at this time.

ES-29a – earthen plug

Due to logistics, this plug also was not directly inspected on this trip. According to Mr. Rosteet, it is stable and functioning as designed. CPRA and NRCS agree that no maintenance is required at this time.

ES-14 - 15 – 5,000 linear feet of earthen embankment on E. Mud Lake

See ES-29a comments.

40,600 linear feet of Levee Refurbishment along the Step Canal

The inspection of the earthen levee consisted of a visual inspection of most of the levee along the Step Canal. The levee just south of Structure 4 has narrowed significantly and will be monitored. (Photos: Appendix B, Photo 22).

VI. Conclusions and Recommendations

Overall, the East Mud Lake Marsh Management Project is in good condition and functioning as designed. Vandalism issues within the project continue and will have to be addressed. While these issues do not appear to be extremely expensive, consideration will be given to filing an insurance claim.

Appendix A

Project Features Map



Appendix B

Photographs



Photo No.1 Structure No. 6



Photo No. 2 Structure No. 7



Photo No. 3, Structure No. 8



Photo No. 4, Structure No. 9a & 9b





Photo No. 6, Structure No. 11



Photo No. 7, Flap Side, Structure No. 11



Photo No. 8, Structure No. 5



Photo No. 9, Structure No. 5



Photo No. 10, Flap Side, Structure No. 5



Photo No. 11, Flap Side, Structure No.4



Photo No. 12, Structure No. 4



Photo No. 13, Boat Barrier, Structure No. 4



Photo 14, Sinkholes Developing Behind Structure 4 Stoplog Bays



Photo 15, Structure 3



Photo 16, Flap Side, Structure 3



Photo 17, Structure 1



Photo 18, Flap Side, Structure 1



Photo 19, Flanking Around Structure 17



Photo 20, Rusted Sheet Piles on Structure 17



Photo 21, Structure 13



Photo 22, Low Section of Step Canal Levee South of Structure 4

Appendix C

Three Year Budget Projection

E. MUD LAKE/ CS-20 / PPL 2 Three-Year Operations & Maintenance Budgets 07/01/2012 - 06/30/2015

Project Manager	O & M Manager	Federal Sponsor	Prepared By								
Pat Landry	Stan Aucoin	NRCS	Stan Aucoin								
	2012/2013 (-17)	2013/2014 (-18)	2014/2015 (-19)								
Maintenance Inspection	\$ 6,269.00	\$ 6,457.00	\$ 6,651.00								
Structure Operation	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00								
State Administration			\$ -								
Federal Administration			\$ -								
Maintenance/Rehabilitation											
12/12 Departation:											
12/13 Description:											
E&D											
Construction											
Construction Oversight											
Sub Total - Maint. And Rehab.	\$ -										
13/14 Description:											
E&D											
Construction											
Construction Oversight		c									
	Sub Total - Maint. And Rehab.	\$ -									
14/15 Description:											
E&D			\$ -								
Construction			\$ -								
Construction Oversight			\$ -								
9		Sub Total - Maint. And Rehab.	\$ -								
	2012/2013 (-17)	2013/2014 (-18)	2014/2015 (-19)								
Total O&M Budgets	\$ 12,769.00	\$ 12,957.00	\$ 13,151.00								
O &M Budget (3 yr Tot			\$ 38,877.00								
	<u>Unexpended O & M Budget</u> \$ 1,019,656.00										
Remaining O & M Budget (Projected) \$ 980,779.00											

Appendix D

Field Inspection Form

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Structure No. 6

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Type of Inspection: Annual

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
Steel Bulkhead	N/A				
/ Caps					
Steel Grating	Good			1	
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
Timber Piles	Good				
Timber Walkway	Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Tillibel Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Carv. 1 lic Caps	0000				
Cables	Good				
Signage /Supports Staff Gages	Good				
/Supports					
Staff Gages	Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
	l				
	L				
Earthen	Good				
Embankment					

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Structure No. 7

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Type of Inspection: Annual

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA)
Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
	N/A				
/ Caps	0 1			_	
Steel Grating	Good			2	
Stop Logs	Good				
Otop Logo	0000				
Hardware	Good				
	Good				
Timber Walkway	Good				
T: 1 . M. 1	0 1				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Caiv. 1 lic Caps	0000				
Cables	Good				
Signage	Good				
/Supports Staff Gages					
Staff Gages	Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen	Good				
Embankment	Good				
LINDANKINCIN					

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 8

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
/ Caps	N/A				
Steel Grating	Good			3	
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen Embankment	Good				

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches?
Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs?
Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection?
Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 9a

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Steel Bulkhead / Caps Steel Grating	N/A Good			
Steel Bulkhead / Caps				
/ Caps	Good			
	Good			
Steel Grating			4	
_				
Stop Logs	Good			
Hardware	Good			
Timber Piles	Good			
Timber Walkway	G000			
Timber Wales	Good			
Tillibel Wales	Good			
Galv. Pile Caps	Good			
Gaiv. File Caps	Good			
Cables	Good			
Gubiou	0000			
Signage	Good			
Signage /Supports Staff Gages				
Staff Gages	Good			
Rip Rap (fill)	Good			
Earthen	Good			
Embankment				

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches?
Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs?
Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection?
Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 9b

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/screw gate and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
Steel Bulkhead / Caps	N/A				
Steel Grating	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good			5	broken flap gate lifting arm. Still functional.
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
Signage /Supports Staff Gages	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen Embankment	Good				

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 11

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Type of Inspection: Annual

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
/ Caps	N/A				
Steel Grating	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
Signage /Supports Staff Gages	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good			7	
Earthen Embankment	Good				

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 5

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Type of Inspection: Annual

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
0. 15					
	N/A				
/ Caps					
Steel Grating	Good		1		
			1		
_					
Stop Logs	Good		1		
			1		
Hardware	Good		1		
			1		
	Good		1		
Timber Walkway	Good		1		
Timber Wales	Good		1		
Galv. Pile Caps	Good		1		
			1		
Cables	Good		1		
Signage	Good		1		
/Supports Staff Gages					
Staff Gages	Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good	1	l	10-Aug	
	l				
Earthen	Good				
Embankment			1		

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 4

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Type of Inspection: Annual

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
/ Caps	Good			11	
Steel Grating	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				some stoplogs have been stolen
Hardware	Good				some locks have been stolen
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good			13	
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
/Supports	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen Embankment	Fair			12,14	sinkholes forming on stoplog side of structure

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 3

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Condition Physical Damage Corrosion Photo # Observations and Remarks Steel Bulkhead N/A / Caps Steel Grating Stop Logs Hardware Timber Piles Timber Walkwa Good Fimber Wales Galv. Pile Caps Cables Good Signage /Supports Staff Gages Rip Rap (fill) Good Earthen Embankment

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 1

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Item | Condition | Physical Damage | Corrosion | Photo # |

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
	N/A				
/ Caps					
Steel Grating	Good				
0: 1					
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
панимане	Good				
Timber Piles	Good				
Timber Walkway					
rimbor rraininay	0000				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
•					
Cables	Good				
	Good				
/Supports Staff Gages					
Staff Gages	Good			47.40	
Rip Rap (fill)	Good			17-18	
	l				
Earthen	Good				
Embankment	Good				
Linbankillelit					

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 17

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Culvert w/stop logs and Flap

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Type of Inspection: Annual

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
/ Caps	Poor			20	Pile cap and sheet piles severely deteriorated.
Steel Grating	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
/Supports Staff Gages	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen Embankment	Good			19	Water is flanking structure.

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

Yes

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake

Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

Structure No. 13

Type of Inspection: Annual

Inspector(s):Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA) Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: bulkhead w/stop logs and Flaps

Water Level Inside: Outside: Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
/ Caps	N/A			21	
Steel Grating	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
Timber Piles Timber Walkway	Good Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
/Supports	Good Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen Embankment	Good				

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT CHECK SHEET

Project No. / Name: CS-20 E. Mud Lake Date of Inspection: June 29, 2012 Time:

ucture No. Inspector(s): Stan Aucoin, Jody White (CPRA)
Dale Garber (NRCS), Scott Rosteet (Apache)

Structure Description: Step Canal levee

Water Level Inside: Outside:
Type of Inspection: Annual Weather Conditions: Sunny and hot

Item	Condition	Physical Damage	Corrosion	Photo #	Observations and Remarks
	N/A				
/ Caps					
,	Good				
Stop Logs	Good				
Hardware	Good				
	Good				
Timber Walkway	Good				
Tib \\/ -l	Good				
Timber Wales	Good				
Galv. Pile Caps	Cood				
Gaiv. Pile Caps	Good				
Cables	Good				
Signage	Good				
/Supports					
/Supports Staff Gages	Good				
Rip Rap (fill)	Good				
Earthen	Good			22	low section near structure 4
Embankment					

What are the conditions of the existing levees? Are there any noticeable breaches? Settlement of rock plugs and rock weirs? Position of stoplogs at the time of the inspection? Are there any signs of vandalism?