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PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Location

The proposed project area is in Atchafalaya Bay, in the lower southeast corner of St. Mary Parish. The area's center is approximately at latitude 29°27'00" and longitude 91°16'30". The project area is the eastern half of the Atchafalaya Delta and comprises,

Fresh water marsh (some willows) - 174 acres
Submerged aquatic vegetation - 46 acres
Tidal flats - 1,622 acres
Open water bodies (includes distributary channels) - 4,000 acres

The project area is referred to in the scientific literature as the "Eastern half of Atchafalaya Delta" or "Eastern Atchafalaya Delta."

Subaerial expression of this portion of the Atchafalaya Delta occurred after the 1973 flood. Since then, this subdelta has grown to cover 6,800 acres of former bay bottom.

Justification

Closure of a distributary channel, known as Natal Channel, in the eastern half of the Atchafalaya delta, principally as a consequence of man's dredging activities, has cut off sediment supply to approximately 1,000 acres of wetlands and 1,000 acres of shallow delta platform. As a result, delta progradation in this area has been dramatically reduced and wetland loss is starting to occur. Disruption of the sediment delivery network has resulted in sediment delivery during floods not being in balance with winter erosion events and subsidence, and net land (wetland) growth has become net land loss, in this portion of the delta.

Natal channel's cross-section has been monitored since early 1977. In 1983 it was 60m wide and had a mean depth of 1.5m (Van Heerden, 1983). In 1989 Natal Channel sealed at its upstream end due to subaqueous levee development mostly as a
consequence of sediment that had migrated down East Pass from an upstream dredge dump site.

Similar closure mechanisms have reduced flow down Radcliffe Pass, south of Natal Channel. Acreage, on a par with the Natal Channel situation, has been adversely impacted around Radcliffe Pass.

Objectives

The objective of this project is to re-establish the natural sediment delivery system in this portion of the Atchafalaya Delta and to enhance the system's natural delta building potential. This is to be accomplished by dredging open Natal Channel and Radcliffe Pass.

Project Features

Approximately 125,000 cubic yards of material is to be dredged from Natal Channel. If conventional dredge methods are employed, it will mean creating dredge mounds or high strips which will most likely become willow thickets rather than remaining marsh. Additionally, if the dredge material is placed adjacent to the dredge site, one may create problems for the channels immediately downstream. The solution lies in spray dredging. This disposal technique leads to a thin veneer of dredge material being spread over a large area and as a consequence elevation changes are minimal. The spray dredging technique that will be employed will consist of a hydraulic cutter/suction dredge connected to a barge fitted with a spray nozzle by a section of hose part of which will be flexible. The barge can thus be moved independently of the dredge ensuring that the spray dredging leads to minimal buildup.

A similar amount of material will be dredged from Radcliffe Pass and dispersed in the same manner.
ANTICIPATED BENEFITS

Types and Acres Enhanced

The proposed project will restore the natural freshwater and associated suspended sediment distribution to 2,000 acres of delta environments including 174 acres of prime freshwater marsh in the eastern half of Atchafalaya Delta. Over the next 20 years 2,000 acres of bay bottom will be converted to subaqueous and subaerial delta environments.

Types and Acres Created

Three hundred acres of new marsh will result directly from the spray dredge material disposal. An additional 1900 acres of new marsh will accrete over the next 20 years as a consequence of natural delta building associated with the two distributary channels.

Types and Acres Restored

Approximately 15 acres of marshes that have been lost in the last few years will be restored to an elevation that will allow revegetation by marsh plants.

Types and Acres Protected

The development of new delta lobes associated with the reopened distributary channels will protect older marshes from the erosive effects of winter cold air outbreaks and their associated high wind-wave activity.

Duration of Coastal Wetland Benefit

The duration of the benefit should continue for at least 20 years. (Typically, distributary channels in the eastern Atchafalaya Delta have a 20 to 25 year life span.)
Benefits to Coastal Wetland Dependent Fish and Wildlife Populations

The Atchafalaya Delta is one of Louisiana's prime waterfowl wintering areas. Additionally, it has a large indigenous duck breeding population as well as numerous ibis and heron breeding colonies. Development of new delta lobes will greatly increase the habitat on which these birds are dependent.

The shallow delta lobe interiors, channel flanks and mud flats are utilized by numerous species of fish. Increasing the area of these environments will greatly enhance fisheries habitat and productivity.

Other Significant Benefits

Increasing discharge down the eastern side of Atchafalaya Delta will enhance sediment introduction to marshes surrounding the eastern side of Atchafalaya Bay, including Point au Fer island.

Dredging the channels will improve recreational access to the area.

ANTICIPATED ADVERSE EFFECTS

Types and Acres Adversely Affected

None.

Conflict With Other Programs

None.
COSTS

Site Survey, Engineering Design $ 15,000
Supervision of Construction $ 30,000
Project Construction $ 455,000
(±253,000 cu yds @ $1.8/cy)

Cost of Monitoring (20 years @ $3,000/yr) $ 60,000

The total cost of the project over 20 years will be approximately $ 560,000

Cost per acre (new wetland) $ 280

Cost per acre of new wetland only amortized over 20 years $ 14

STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCES

NEPA

No specific environmental evaluation of the project has taken place at this time and no applications for permits have been undertaken.

Sections 10/404

No specific environmental evaluation of the project has taken place at this time and no applications for permits have been made.

Louisiana Coastal Management Program

The project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Zone and will require a Coastal Use Permit.
Louisiana Water Quality Certification

No application has been made for this permit.

Endangered Species Act

The project is not believed to adversely affect endangered or threatened species.

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

The following schedule expresses anticipated time periods in terms of months after initiation of the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorization</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and survey starting date</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and detailed feasibility analysis finishing date</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submittal of permit application</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of permit process</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction start date</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction finish date</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES

Federal Funding Sources

No federal funding sources other than the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act have been identified.

Non-Federal Funding Sources

State funding is available to share in the project cost if the project were to be submitted and approved under the State’s 1992-1993 Coastal Wetland Restoration and Protection Plan.
COASTAL WETLANDS PLANNING, PROTECTION, AND RESTORATION ACT

Proposed Project Information Sheet

Project Name: Re-Establishment of Natural Sediment Delivery Systems
Atchafalaya Delta, Atchafalaya Basin

Project Area Size: 4248 acres
Submitted By: U.S. Department of Commerce

Marsh Type: Fresh  Acres: 4248

PRESENT CONDITIONS

1. Acres of vegetated marsh and listing of most common plant species present.

   One hundred and seventy four acres of vegetated fresh marsh are currently present, composed of Sagittaria latifolia, Sagittaria platyphylla, Scirpus americanus, Scirpus validus, Typha domingensis, Zizaniopsis miliacea, Justicia ovata, mixed fresh marsh (as presented by Robertson et al., 1987; Aster, spp, Bacopa sp., Colocasia sp., Cyperus spp., Echinochloa spp., Leersia sp, Aeschynomene indica and others), Salix nigra and submerged aquatic vegetation (46 acres consisting of numerous small patches).

2. Acres of open water.

   Four thousand (4000).

3. Percentage of open water listed in Item 2 dominated by aquatic plants.

   1.5 percent.
4. Historical information on marsh loss trends.

Reduction of discharge down Natal Channel and Radcliffe Pass, since the mid to late 1980s, predominately reflects the activities of man. As a consequence 2000 acres of delta lobes and 2000 acres of subaqueous delta platform have had their sediment supply dramatically cut. In the past few years 15 acres of wetlands have been lost and the rate is expected to accelerate. Marsh loss rate at present is 2.6 percent p.a.

5. Brief summary of significant historical hydrologic changes.

If a delta’s sediment delivery network is disrupted, a situation could develop where sediment delivery during floods will not balance with that eroded during winter frontal passages and lost due to subsidence. Therefore, net land growth will become net land loss. Until the late 1980s navigation channel dredged material was never placed in the eastern (natural) half of the Atchafalaya Delta. Starting in 1987 the Corps of Engineers was advised to place dredge material at the heads of lobes in the eastern half of the delta as it was felt that this would "stabilize" the islands and channel locations. Unfortunately, due to natural channel sediment transport mechanisms and the redistribution erosional processes associated with cold fronts, some of the material migrated down the channel and effectively sealed Natal Channel by late 1988. Radcliffe Pass is now also starting to seal.

As a consequence of reduced sediment input the delta lobes adjacent to Natal Channel and Radcliffe Pass are losing elevation. Marsh loss, in this delta, is now occurring.


In portions of the project area erosion rates in subaerial portions of the delta have exceeded 100 feet per annum. In general, they average 3 feet per annum for emergent land.
7. Percent of open water area in the following categories (4000 acres):

**Fresh Marsh**

- Less than 0.5 feet = 20 percent
- Between 0.5 feet and 1.5 feet = 30 percent
- Between 1.5 feet and 4 feet = 25 percent
- Greater than 4 feet = 25 percent

8. Available historical salinity data.

The project area is nearly always fresh due to the proximity of the Atchafalaya River. During the fall with low river flows and high Gulf of Mexico waters, salinities may reach 5 ppt (van Heerden, 1983).

9. Location, type and operation schedule of existing permitting and unpermitted structures.

Not applicable.

10. Is there an existing permitted management plan for the area?

No.

11. Location of structures, culverts, breaks in spoil banks, etc. that serve as hydrologic connections and are not identified above or are not easily seen by examination of aerial photography.

All channels are readily seen on high altitude aerial photography.

12. Estimated subsidence rate

1.3 cm/yr (van Heerden, 1983)
FUTURE CONDITIONS

1. Locations, type, and operation of proposed structures and water control system, including plugs.

No structures planned.

2. Proposed hydrologic changes due to the project.

Both Natal Channel and Radcliffe Pass will be dredged such that discharges from East Pass will now flow down these channels out into the bay.

3. Project Benefits.

a. Acres of emergent marsh predicted to be lost without the project.

The vegetated marsh loss rate for existing marsh is 2.6 acres per annum. Thus over 20 years it is estimated that 32 acres will be lost. The fact that under normal conditions, i.e., open distributary channels, an average 95 acres of new marsh would be created per year due natural processes, means that the annual loss rate is actually 96.6 acres/annum. Thus total loss without project is 1932 acres.

Acres of emergent marsh predicted to be gained with project.

Three hundred acres of marsh will be constructed as a consequence of dredge material dispersal. Thereafter new marsh could accrete at a rate of 95 acres per annum. Total new emergent marsh over 20 years could equal 2200 acres.

One hundred and seventy-four acres of existing marsh will be enhanced by the project as will about 2000 acres of delta environments.
b. Acres of open water aquatic vegetation predicted to be lost without project.

Due to subsidence the existing 46 acres of aquatic vegetation would be lost.

Acres of open water aquatic vegetation predicted to be gained with project.

At least 460 acres of aquatic vegetation would develop as a result of this project.

4. Predicted plant species composition of marsh in the future, with and without the project.

Without the project the area *Sagittaria latifolia*, *Sagittaria platyphylla*, *Scirpus americanus*, *Scirpus validus* and *Zizanocris miliacea* will decrease.

With the project there will be a dramatic increase in *Sagittaria latifolia*, *Sagittaria platyphylla*, *Scirpus americanus*, *Scirpus validus*, *Zizaniopsis miliacea* and mixed fresh marsh.

5. Estimates of open water area in depth categories, future with and without project:

Current estimates of depths of open water with an area of 4,000 acres

**Fresh Marsh**

| Less than 0.5 feet | = 20 percent |
| Between 0.5 feet and 1.5 feet | = 30 percent |
Between 1.5 feet and 4 feet = 25 percent
Greater than 4 feet = 25 percent

With Project (at 20-year "project life), open water would be 1,800 acres.

Less than 0.5 feet = 40 percent
Between 0.9 feet and 1.5 feet = 40 percent
Between 1.5 feet and 4 feet = 20 percent
Greater than 4 feet = 0 percent

Without Project (at 20-year "project life), open water would be 4,100 acres.

Less than 0.5 feet = 5 percent
Between 0.9 feet and 1.5 feet = 25 percent
Between 1.5 feet and 4 feet = 40 percent
Greater than 4 feet = 30 percent

6. The project will reduce the salinities in this part of the bay due to the freshwater moving down the distributary channels.

With project maximum 1 ppt
Without project maximum 5 ppt

REFERENCES


COASTAL WETLANDS PLANNING, PROTECTION AND RESTORATION ACT

Wetland Value Assessment Worksheet

Project: PA-2
Condition: FWOP

Date: 7/15/92

Project Area = 4248 ac
Fresh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TY</th>
<th>V₁</th>
<th>V₂</th>
<th>V₃</th>
<th>V₄</th>
<th>V₅</th>
<th>V₆</th>
<th>V₇</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>246 ac</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# COASTAL WETLANDS PLANNING, PROTECTION AND RESTORATION ACT

**Wetland Value Assessment Worksheet**

**Project:** LAT - 2  
**Condition:** FW

**Date:** 7/16/92

**Project Area:** 4724.8 ac  
**Fresh**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TY</th>
<th>$V_1$</th>
<th>$V_2$</th>
<th>$V_3$</th>
<th>$V_4$</th>
<th>$V_5$</th>
<th>$V_6$</th>
<th>$V_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>160%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WETLAND VALUE ASSESSMENT COMMUNITY MODEL
Fresh/Intermediate Marsh

Project...... Re-establish Natural Sediment Delivery (PAT-2) Marsh type acres:
Fresh............ 4248
Intermediate..

Condition: Future Without Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TY 0</th>
<th>Ty 1</th>
<th>TY 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 % Emergent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2 % Aquatic</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3 Interspersion</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4 Hydrology</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5 %OW &lt;= 1.5ft</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6 Salinity (ppt)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fresh intermediate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7 Access Value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSI = 0.28  HSI = 0.28  HSI = 0.26
WETLAND VALUE ASSESSMENT COMMUNITY MODEL
Fresh/Intermediate Marsh

Project......Re-establish Natural Sediment Delivery (PAT-2) Marsh type acres:

Fresh............ 4248
Intermediate..

Condition: Future With Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TY 0</th>
<th></th>
<th>TY 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>TY 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 % Emergent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2 % Aquatic</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3 Interspersion</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4 Hydrology</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5 %OW &lt;= 1.5ft</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6 Salinity (ppt)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fresh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7 Access Value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSI = 0.28 HSI = 0.34 HSI = 0.59
## AAHU Calculation

**Project:** Re-establish Natural Sediment Delivery (PAT-2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future With Project</th>
<th>Total HU's</th>
<th>Cumulative HU's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>x HSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAHU’s = 1928.57

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Without Project</th>
<th>Total HU's</th>
<th>Cumulative HU's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>x HSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAHU’s = 1151.73

**Net Change in AAHU’s Due to Project**

A. Future With Project AAHU’s = 1928.57
B. Future Without Project AAHU’s = 1151.73
Net Change (FWP – FWOP) = 776.84