North American Journal of Aquaculture 84:381–391, 2022 © 2022 American Fisheries Society. This article has been contributed to by U.S. Government employees and their work is in the public domain in the USA. ISSN: 1522-2055 print / 1548-8454 online DOI: 10.1002/naaq.10248

ARTICLE

Local Populations of Eastern Oyster from Louisiana Differ in Low-Salinity Tolerance

Lauren M. Swam

School of Renewable Natural Resources, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

Megan K. La Peyre*

U.S. Geological Survey, Louisiana Fish and Wildlife Cooperative Research Unit, School of Renewable Natural Resources, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

Brian R. Callam

Louisiana Sea Grant College Program, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

Jerome F. La Peyre

School of Animal Sciences, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

Abstract

Eastern oysters Crassostrea virginica support a critical commercial industry and provide many ecosystem services to coastal estuaries yet are currently threatened by changing estuarine conditions. A changing climate and the effects of river and coastal management are altering freshwater inflows into productive oyster areas, causing more frequent and extreme salinity exposure. Although eastern ovsters are tolerant to a wide range of salinity means and variations, more frequent and extreme exposure to low salinity (<5%) impacts oyster populations and aquaculture operations. This study assessed four Louisiana eastern oyster stocks to explore population-specific responses to low-salinity exposure. Hatchery-produced progeny (10-25 mm) were deployed in baskets kept off-bottom on longline systems in a low-salinity (mean ± 1 standard error of the mean daily salinity = $8.7 \pm 0.2\%$; range = 1.2-19.0%) and a moderatesalinity $(16.8 \pm 0.3\%)$; 4.8–30.0‰) environment for 1 year, beginning in December 2019, with growth and mortality determined monthly. Significant differences in cumulative mortality between stocks at the end of the study were found at the low-salinity site, with the greatest increase in cumulative mortality occurring mid-July to mid-August. Mortality differences between stocks suggest that some eastern oyster populations (i.e., stocks) may be better suited to low salinity or low-salinity events than others. This difference may be attributed to similarity between site of origin and grow-out site conditions and/or to greater salinity variability and therefore higher phenotypic plasticity in some eastern oyster populations compared with others. The identification of oyster stocks able to survive under extreme lowsalinity conditions may facilitate the development of "low-salinity-tolerant" broodstock to support aquaculture in areas experiencing and predicted to experience low-salinity events.

The eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (hereafter, "oyster") is a keystone species that provides critical ecosystem services and supports a productive commercial fishery in

northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries (Coen et al. 2007; La Peyre et al. 2019a). Estuaries across the northern Gulf of Mexico face increasing environmental variability from

^{*}Corresponding author: mlapeyre@agcenter.lsu.edu Received November 22, 2021; accepted April 5, 2022

climate change and management activities that impact freshwater inflow (Das et al. 2012; Powell and Keim 2015; CPRA 2017). These changes in freshwater inflow across previously productive areas, along with overharvesting and habitat destruction, are causing oyster population declines (Beck et al. 2011; Beseres Pollack et al. 2012). To balance high market demand with declining abundance, aquaculture production has become increasingly popular (Maxwell et al. 2008; Walton et al. 2013; Wadsworth et al. 2019), but its success may depend on identification of broodstock tolerant of predicted and changing water conditions.

Estuarine oyster aquaculture systems are dependent on the suitability of local water conditions (i.e., salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, food availability, turbidity, water movement) for production (Shumway 1996; Bayne 2017). Of these conditions, salinity has a major influence on oyster growth and mortality in northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries. Oysters are tolerant of a wide range of salinities, but their populations generally grow and survive best in midrange salinities (Lowe et al. 2017). In Louisiana in particular, which has supported over 64% of northern Gulf of Mexico oyster production in the past 10 years (NOAA 2020), many oyster-producing areas already experience low annual mean salinities below 10% or frequent exposure to extreme low-salinity events (<5%), sometimes for extended periods. The existence of oyster populations in areas exposed to lower-salinity regimes and continued oyster production in these areas suggests potential for local adaptation.

Increasing field and laboratory evidence from northern Gulf of Mexico oyster populations indicate populationspecific responses to water quality conditions, including salinity (Casas et al. 2017; Leonhardt et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2017; Marshall et al. 2021a, 2021b). Marshall et al. (2021a) compared the performance of hatchery-produced progeny of two Texas and two Louisiana oyster populations at sites with low (~6‰ annual mean) and moderate (~16‰ annual mean) salinity in Alabama and in salinities ranging from 2‰ to 44‰ in a laboratory setting. Results indicated clear population responses, with one Texas population surviving better at high salinity but worse at low salinity than either Louisiana populations (Marshall et al. 2021a), supporting earlier work indicating populationspecific adaptations (Barber et al. 1991; Dittman et al. 1998; Burford et al. 2014). Across a narrower geographic range, significant differences in the performance of oysters produced from broodstocks collected from three public oyster grounds in Louisiana and deployed along a salinity gradient were also reported by Leonhardt et al. (2017). Whether more locally adapted oyster populations could exist across Louisiana estuaries with low annual mean salinities or frequent and extended exposure to lowsalinity events needs to be investigated further (Leonhardt et al. 2017).

This study compares the performance of hatcheryproduced progeny from four ovster populations that exist in areas of Louisiana estuaries suspected to frequently have lower than optimal salinity conditions by determining their growth, mortality, condition index, and Perkinsus marinus infection intensity at a low- and moderate-salinity site. This study is timely considering that predicted increases in precipitation and runoff in the southeastern USA alongside more frequent extreme rain events are increasing oyster exposure to both extended and acute low salinity (Powell and Keim 2015; Carter et al. 2018). Moreover, Louisiana estuaries face additional low-salinity events from land loss management involving large-scale river diversions into estuaries (Das et al. 2012; CPRA 2017), which may result in decreased salinity across oyster production locations and, consequently, increased oyster mortality (Soniat et al. 2013; Lavaud et al. 2021). Identifying oyster populations adapted to low-salinity regimes and those that are more tolerant of extreme low salinity provides a path to support development of broodstock for aquaculture, particularly given recent research suggesting heritability of low-salinity tolerance (McCarty et al. 2020).

METHODS

Broodstock collection sites.—Oyster broodstocks were collected in early 2019 from four sites along the Louisiana coast: Sabine Lake (29°47′6.00″N, 93°55′5.02″W), Calcasieu Lake (29°51′2.34″N, 93°16′59.81″W), Point Au Fer (29°18′54.05″N, 91°21′49.30″W), and Pass a Loutre (29°11′32.24″N, 89°2′43.58″W) (Figure 1). Natural reefs exist across these locations, which represent a wide range of environmental conditions and riverine influence (Table 1).

Sabine Lake is an estuarine lake located at the southern end of the Sabine River basin that experiences freshwater inflow from the Neches and Sabine rivers, consists of 22,280 ha of water bottom with oyster reefs in the southernmost portion of the lake, and has been closed to oyster harvest since the early 1960s (LDWF 2019). Salinity data (bottom) for this stock collection site were obtained from the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF) long-term sampling station (station 3014; LDWF 2018) located at the site of broodstock collection (Figure 1; Table 1).

Calcasieu Lake is an estuarine lake located at the southern end of the Calcasieu River basin that experiences freshwater inflow from the Calcasieu River, consists of 23,580 ha of water bottom with oyster reefs, and supports oyster production (LDWF 2019). Salinity data (bottom) for this stock collection site was obtained from the LDWF long-term sampling station (station 3018; LDWF 2018) located in close proximity (0.14 km southwest) to the broodstock collection site (Figure 1; Table 1).



FIGURE 1. Locations of eastern oyster broodstock collection sites (Sabine Lake, Calcasieu Lake, Point Au Fer, and Pass a Loutre) and grow-out sites (Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm [LASGRF] in Grand Isle, Louisiana, and the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium [LUMCON] in Cocodrie, Louisiana). Zoomed panels depict each broodstock collection site and associated environmental data recorder.

Point Au Fer is a primarily open-water brackish system that experiences freshwater inflow from the Atchafalaya and Vermilion rivers. The collection site supports a historic natural reef that is not open to harvest but is proximal to public oyster seed grounds that experience extensive oyster mortalities except in years with reduced freshwater from the Atchafalaya River, therefore supporting intermittent oyster harvests (LDWF 2019). Salinity data for Point Au Fer was obtained from the Coastwide Reference Monitoring System (CRMS) data recorder CRMS 6304-H01 (CPRA 2021) 14.3 km northeast of the broodstock collection site and from the LDWF long-term sampling station (station 2101; LDWF 2018) located 25.6 km southeast of the broodstock collection site (Figure 1; Table 1).

Pass a Loutre is located on the eastern side of the Mississippi River delta, where the Mississippi River enters the Gulf of Mexico. The collection site in this location is not sampled for oysters regularly, and no other oyster reefs are known to exist in this area. The site receives extensive freshwater inflow from the Bohemia Spillway, Caernarvon and Bayou Lamoque freshwater diversion structures, and main-stem Mississippi River distributaries. Surface and bottom salinity data was obtained from LDWF (LDWF 2018) at a site 2.2 km west of the broodstock collection site (Figure 1; Table 1).

Spawning and spat grow out.—One day after collection, broodstocks were transported to the Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) located off Grand Isle, Louisiana (Figure 1). Oysters were placed in baskets ($75 \times 22 \times 20$ cm, 12-mm mesh size) suspended on an adjustable longline system nearshore (BST Oyster Company, Cowell, South Australia) until they were spawned at the Michael C. Voisin Oyster Hatchery adjacent to LASGRF.

In summer 2019, individual oysters from each broodstock were naturally induced to spawn by increasing water temperature in a controlled setting (Wallace et al. 2008). Gametes were collected from spawning individuals, and the eggs from each female were fertilized by sperm from each male and pooled (Table 2). Larvae were raised to the pediveliger stage and were then set on microcultch to produce single oyster spat using standard hatchery procedure

Louisiana De toring Systen	partment of Wildlife and F 1 (CRMS; CPRA 2021).	Fisheries (LDWF) independe	ent monitoring static	ons (LDWF 2018), LDWF	independent sampling (LDW)	F 2018), or the 6	Coastwide Ref	erence Moni-
Collection site	Data source	Latitude, longitude	Distance and direction to collection site	Data availability	Frequency of sampling	Salinity mean ± SEM	Salinity minimum	Salinity maximum
SL	LDWF (sampling station 3014)	29°47′6.00″N, 03°55′5 07″W	0 km	Oct 20, 2010–Apr 9 2019	~monthly $(n = 104)$	13.3 ± 0.8	0.1	30.8
CL	LDWF (sampling station 3003)	29°51′24.01″N, 93°20′17 99″W	0.14 km SW	Mar 17, 2009–May 7 2019	~monthly ($n = 141$)	17.6 ± 0.5	0.2	32.7
PAF	LDWF (sampling station 2101)	29°13′3.00″N, 91°7′34.00″W	25.6 km SE	Oct 5, 2010–May 28, 2019	~monthly $(n = 70)$	16.5 ± 0.8	0.2	26.2
	CRMS (data recorder 6304- H01)	29°25′13.58″N, 91°16′43.28″W	14.3 km NE	Jul 30, 2009–Sep 16, 2019	~daily $(n = 3,366)$	0.3 ± 0.01	0.1	10.3
PAL	LDWF (mouth of PAL [surface])	29°11′14.93″N, 89°4′2.85″W	2.2 km W	Jan 9, 2009–Aug 25, 2019	$2 \times$ monthly ($n = 214$)	4.1 ± 0.3	0.1	22.4
	LDWF (mouth of PAL [bottom])	29°11′14.93″N, 89°4′2.85″W	2.2 km W	Jan 9, 2009–Aug 25, 2019	$2 \times$ monthly ($n = 214$)	14.2 ± 0.6	0.1	37.7

TABLE 1. Data source, geographic coordinates, relative position to eastern oyster broodstock collection site, data time period, frequency of sampling, long-term mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) salinity (‰), and minimum and maximum salinity (‰) reported for bottom environmental data collected at stations close to broodstock collection sites at Sabine Lake (SL), Calcasieu Lake (CL), Point Au Fer (PAF), and Pass a Loutre (PAI). Due to the absence of a monitoring station in close proximity to the Point Au Fer broodstock collection site, data from two stations further away are provided. Available surface salinity data are also provided for the Pass a Loutre station. Data for all collection sites were obtained from the

Stock	Date spawned	Eggs fertilized	Number of males	Number of females	LASGRF initial mean ± SEM shell height	LUMCON initial mean ± SEM shell height
SL	Jul 16, 2019	4.48×10^{7}	9	9	11.3 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.2
CL	Jun 4, 2019	3.15×10^{8}	3	5	23.1 ± 0.3	22.2 ± 0.3
PAF	Aug 6, 2019	4.41×10^{8}	17	15	16.9 ± 0.2	16.4 ± 0.2
PAL	Aug 6, 2019	2.83×10^{8}	13	13	17.0 ± 0.2	17.3 ± 0.2

TABLE 2. Date of spawning and number of males and females of each eastern oyster broodstock spawned at the Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) to produce progeny from the populations. The initial shell height is the shell height of progeny oysters at the deployment of the study on December 12, 2019. The broodstock collection sites were at Sabine Lake (SL), Calcasieu Lake (CL), Point Au Fer (PAF), and Pass a Loutre (PAL), and the grow-out sites were at LASGRF and the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON).

(Wallace et al. 2008). The spat were grown in upwelling nursery systems until they grew past 6 mm in shell height, at which time they were transferred to baskets (6-mm mesh) on the longline system at LASGRF until their use in this study. Once oysters grew past 12 mm in shell height, they were transferred into 12-mm-mesh baskets to improve water flow.

Experimental design.— The hatchery-produced progeny of each of the four broodstocks were deployed in December 2019 in baskets suspended on an adjustable longline system at two grow-out sites, which differed in their salinity regimes. The moderate-salinity site was at LASGRF, and the low-salinity site was located off the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON) facilities in Cocodrie, Louisiana. Four baskets of 100 oysters each were deployed at each grow-out site for the four stocks (4 stocks \times 4 baskets \times 2 sites \times 100 ovsters). Baskets were placed on the longline in a randomized block design to account for unmeasured variation in the growing environment. Oyster mortality and growth were monitored monthly through November 2020. At each sampling, the numbers of live and dead oysters in each bag were recorded and dead oysters were discarded. The shell height (mm), the distance from shell umbo to distal edge, was then measured for a random subset of 25 oysters per bag. Mean shell height of each stock at the time of deployment is summarized in Table 2.

In October 2020, near the completion of this study, 20 oysters from each stock (5/basket) were haphazardly collected to determine *Perkinsus marinus* infection intensity (parasites per gram wet tissue), infection prevalence (number of infected oysters/total number of oysters sampled × 100), and body condition index [100 × dry tissue weight/ (whole weight – shell weight)] as described by La Peyre et al. (2003, 2019b). The *P. marinus* infection intensity was categorized as either no infection (0 parasites/g wet tissue), light infection (1 to $<10^4$ parasites/g wet tissue), moderate infection (10^4 to 5×10^5 parasites/g wet tissue), or heavy infection ($>5 \times 10^5$ parasites/g wet tissue) (Casas et al. 2017). Condition index was used to indicate how well an

oyster uses its shell cavity for tissue growth, reflecting overall health and reproductive status, and estimates meat quality (Haven 1960; Lawrence and Scott 1982; Mann 1992).

Water quality.— Daily mean salinity and temperature data for LASGRF was obtained from the USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) 073802516 recorder at Barataria Pass at Grand Isle, Louisiana (USGS 2021), with missing data filled in using the closest USGS recorder (data recorder 291929089562600 at Barataria Bay near Grand Terre Island, Louisiana; $R^2 = 0.8798$; USGS 2021). The primary recorder is located 6.6 km northeast of the deployment site. Daily mean salinity and temperature data for LUM-CON was obtained from the Marine Center Environmental Monitoring Station (LUMCON 2021). The recorder is located 0.4 km west of the deployment site.

Data analyses and statistics.—All statistical analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.3 (R Core Team 2020). A P < 0.05 was used to determine significance for all tests. Unless indicated otherwise, values are presented as mean ± 1 standard error of the mean (SEM). Mean daily salinity and temperature at both grow-out sites were compared using a paired t-test. Interval and cumulative mortality were calculated following the method of Ragone Calvo and Burreson (2003), and cumulative mortalities at the end of the study were compared using a two-factor (stock, site) analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each grow-out site followed by a Tukey post hoc test. Mean growth rates (mm/month) of each stock at both grow-out sites over the study duration were calculated by subtracting mean shell height from each basket (n=4) at the start of the study from the mean shell height of the same basket at the end of the study, standardized to a 30-d month. Mean growth rates (mm/month) were compared using a two-factor (stock, site) ANOVA followed by a Tukey post hoc test. The P. marinus infection intensity and condition index of oysters sampled in October 2020 were compared using a two-factor (site, stock) ANOVA followed by a Tukey post hoc test.

RESULTS

Water Quality

Over the study period, mean daily salinity at LUM-CON was significantly lower than at LASGRF (paired *t*-test: t = 31.5, df = 343, P < 0.001). The LASGRF growout site generally experienced moderate salinity throughout the study duration, with a mean of $16.8 \pm 0.3\%$ and ranging from 4.8‰ to 30.0‰ (USGS 2021; Figure 2). The LUMCON grow-out site generally experienced low salinity throughout the study duration, with a mean salinity of $8.7 \pm 0.2\%$ and ranging from 1.2% to 19.0% (LUMCON 2021; Figure 2). A period of extended, extreme low salinity $(2.6 \pm 0.2\%)$ was observed at LUMCON from mid-June through mid-July (Figure 2; Table S.1 in the Supplement available in the online version of this article). At both sites, salinity trended downward from December through March, plateaued from April through July, and trended upward from August through December (Figure 2).

Over the study period, daily temperature followed expected seasonal trends and was within expected ranges for this region (paired *t*-test: t = -3.514, df = 343, P < 0.001; Figure 2). Although significant differences in temperature were found between grow-out sites, they likely did not impact oyster growth and mortality as trends were consistent and largely similar over time and statistical differences are likely due to large sample size. At the LASGRF grow-out site, temperature ranged from 10.7° C to 32.5° C, with a mean of $23.7 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C throughout the study duration (USGS 2021; Figure 2). At the LUMCON grow-out site, temperature ranged from 9.9° C to 32.6° C, with a mean of $23.9 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C (LUMCON 2021; Figure 2).

At both sites, temperature plateaued from December through February, increased from March through June, plateaued from July through August, and decreased from September through December (Figure 2).

Mortality

At the study's completion, there was a significant site × stock interaction on cumulative mortality ($F_{3,31} = 9.835$, P < 0.001). This interaction is due to no significant difference between the Sabine Lake stock (25.1%) at LUMCON and all stocks at LASGRF (<14%) but significant differences between the cumulative mortality of all stocks at LASGRF and the Calcasieu Lake (42.8%), Pass a Loutre (53.1%), and Point Au Fer (70.2%) stocks at LUMCON (Figure 3). The greatest increase in cumulative mortality at LUMCON was observed between mid-July and mid-August, a period of high mean temperatures (30.5 ± 0.3°C) and low mean salinity (7.2 ± 0.5‰), and notably following a period (mid-June through mid-July) of very low mean salinity (2.6 ± 0.2‰) (Figures 2, 3).

Growth Rate

At the end of the experiment, oysters at LASGRF (mean \pm SEM shell height = 83.1 \pm 0.5 mm) were larger than oysters at LUMCON (47.4 \pm 0.4 mm) (Figure 4). There was a significant site × stock interaction for overall mean growth rate over the study duration ($F_{3,31} = 6.403$, P = 0.002). Overall mean growth rate was significantly higher at LASGRF than at LUMCON for all stocks (Table 3; Tukey's honestly significant difference [HSD]: P < 0.001). At LASGRF, only the Point Au Fer stock had a significantly higher overall mean growth rate than the



FIGURE 2. Daily water salinity (‰) and temperature (°C) from December 12, 2019, to November 19, 2020, from continuous recorders at the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON) (LUMCON 2021) and near the Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) at Grand Isle (USGS 2021). The solid horizontal line represents a salinity of 5‰.



FIGURE 3. Cumulative mortality (%; mean \pm SEM) of the progeny of eastern oysters collected from Sabine Lake, Calcasieu Lake, Point Au Fer, and Pass a Loutre and grown out at Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) and Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON). Different letters denote statistical differences (P < 0.05).

Sabine Lake (Tukey's HSD: P = 0.03) and Calcasieu Lake (Tukey's HSD: P = 0.007) stocks (Table 3). There were no differences in overall mean growth rates between stocks at LUMCON (Table 3).

Condition Index

When oyster condition indices were measured in October, a significant site × stock interaction was found $(F_{3, 155} = 13.80, P < 0.001)$. No significant differences could be shown between stocks at LASGRF, but the Calcasieu Lake stock at LUMCON had a significantly lower condition index than the stocks from Sabine Lake, Pass a Loutre, and Point Au Fer (Tukey's HSD: P < 0.001; Table 3).

Perkinsus marinus Infection Intensity

When determined in October, there was no significant interaction or effect of stock but there was a significant effect of site, with *P. marinus* infection intensities at LUMCON significantly lower than at LASGRF, with some moderate and heavy infection intensities only occurring at the LASGRF site ($F_{1,155} = 30.709$, P < 0.001;



FIGURE 4. Mean ± SEM shell height (mm) of the progeny of eastern oysters collected from Sabine Lake, Calcasieu Lake, Point Au Fer, and Pass a Loutre and grown out at Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) and Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON).

SWAM ET AL.

TABLE 3. Mean \pm SEM growth rate (mm/month) from the time of deployment at the Louisiana Sea Grant Oyster Research Farm (LASGRF) and the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (LUMCON) on December 12, 2019, to the end of the study on November 19, 2020. Condition index, infection prevalence (number of infected oysters/total number of oysters sampled \times 100), and infection intensity of *Perkinsus marinus* (parasites per gram wet tissue) are reported for each population from Sabine Lake (SL), Calcasieu Lake (CL), Point Au Fer (PAF), and Pass a Loutre (PAL). Different letters following the values reflect statistically significant differences within each parameter (P < 0.05).

Site	Stock	Growth rate	Condition index	Infection prevalence	Infection intensity
LASGRF	SL	5.6 ± 0.1 y	11.8 ± 0.3 xy	90	109,981 ± 53,825 z
	CL	5.5 ± 0.05 y	$10.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ x}$	100	$400,528 \pm 169,432$ z
	PAF	$6.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ z}$	$10.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ x}$	95	62,270 ± 40,033 z
	PAL	5.9 ± 0.03 zy	$10.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ x}$	100	132,720 ± 82,985 z
LUMCON	SL	3.0 ± 0.04 x	$19.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ z}$	35	$17 \pm 6 \text{ y}$
	CL	$2.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ x}$	13.6 ± 0.5 y	30	$10 \pm 5 \text{ y}$
	PAF	$2.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ x}$	$18.1 \pm 0.6 z$	15	4 ± 2 y
	PAL	$2.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ x}$	$19.7 \pm 0.7 z$	30	$5 \pm 2 y$

Table 3). Prevalence of infection was higher at LASGRF (>90%) than at LUMCON (<35%) for all stocks (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The F_1 progeny of four Louisiana oyster populations suspected to be frequently exposed to low salinity (<5%) were assessed for differences in tolerance to low salinity. The broodstocks were collected at low- to moderatesalinity sites in estuarine lakes (i.e., Sabine, Calcasieu) or at the mouth of large rivers with high freshwater inflow (i.e., Point Au Fer at the mouth of the Atchafalaya River, Pass a Loutre at the mouth of the Mississippi River). Overall, ovsters at the low-salinity grow-out site had higher cumulative mortality compared with oysters at the moderate-salinity site, with most mortality occurring between mid-July and mid-August, concurrent with high temperatures. However, the most noteworthy differences were in cumulative mortalities between stocks at the lowsalinity site, with the Sabine Lake stock having the lowest cumulative mortality (25.1%) and the Point Au Fer stock having the highest cumulative mortality (70.2%). The Sabine Lake stock also tended to have the highest growth rate at the low-salinity site, while the Point Au Fer stock had a greater growth rate than the Sabine Lake stock at the highest-salinity grow-out site. Mortality differences between stocks suggest that within Louisiana discrete populations of oysters may be better suited to frequent low salinity or low-salinity events than others.

The overall higher performance of the Sabine Lake stock, in terms of lowest mortality and highest growth rates, at the low-salinity grow-out site could be because, based on best available data, mean salinity at the Sabine Lake site of origin was most similar to the mean salinity at the low-salinity grow-out site (Sabine Lake: 13.2%; LUMCON: 8.9%), suggesting that Sabine Lake stock may be better adapted to these low-salinity conditions. In contrast, the mean salinity at the Point Au Fer site of origin (16.4‰) was much higher than the mean salinity at the low-salinity grow-out site, and this lack of previous exposure of this oyster population to low salinity may partially explain why the Point Au Fer oysters died faster than the Sabine Lake oysters (Marshall et al. 2021a). However, these site salinities are estimations based on data available in proximity to the collection sites, and it is not clear if this hypothesis is fully supported due to infrequent data collection in proximity to sites and low continuous recorder coverage within the water column. While phenotypic differences in performance between stocks are evident at the low-salinity site, the specific contribution of their genomes versus their epigenomes in low-salinity tolerance will need to be determined in their subsequent (F_2) oyster generations.

The observed difference in low-salinity tolerance between stocks could be related to the relative condition of the various oyster stocks (e.g., level of genetic diversity, harvest pressure). Specifically, the oysters with the lowest mortality (Sabine Lake) are the progeny of broodstock taken from an area that has been closed to harvest for over 50 years and may contain an oyster population that has not experienced severe bottlenecks caused by high harvest pressure. As a result, this population may have higher levels of genetic diversity and be better able to survive highly variable estuarine environmental conditions, including extreme salinity (Hilbish and Koehn 1987; Hawkins and Day 1999; Reed 2005; Guo et al. 2018). Interestingly, the mean monthly salinity over a 10-year period (2009-2019; Table S.1) at the Sabine Lake site is more variable than at the other study sites, which could have contributed to an increase in plasticity of the Sabine Lake oysters. More amplitude fluctuations in environmental conditions have been predicted to lead to increased phenotypic plasticity (de Jong 1995; Via et al. 1995; Kassen 2002; Bitter et al. 2021). Higher plasticity in salinity tolerance was also observed in the progeny of oysters collected in Aransas Bay, Texas, compared with the progeny of oysters collected from three other northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries that experience less salinity variation than Aransas Bay from year to year (Marshall et al. 2021a). Plastic divergence may also be generated by changes in epigenome or through other mechanisms (e.g., maternal investment) and be inherited without changes in DNA sequences across generations (Johnson and Kelly 2020; Griffiths et al. 2021).

The progeny of two broodstocks collected at outflow areas of a large river (Pass a Loutre and Point Au Fer populations) exhibited high mortality at the low-salinity grow-out site despite the hypothesized exposure to frequent and extended low salinity at their sites of origin. One explanation for these unexpectedly high mortalities could be the presence of water stratification at the sites of origin, supported by monthly data at the Pass a Loutre site, with 2009–2019 data showing bottom mean salinity 10.1 units higher than surface mean salinity and significantly higher than the mean daily salinity $(2.1 \pm 0.1\%)$; CRMS 0161-H01) reported on the adjacent marsh (LDWF 2018; CPRA 2021). While Louisiana estuaries are generally described as shallow and well mixed, it is possible that high river inflow, such as at Pass a Loutre and Point Au Fer, results in localized stratification, with nearby marshes flooded with freshwater, while bottom waters remain more influenced by marine waters (Laevastu and Hela 1970), but support of this hypothesis is limited by the availability of water quality data. Alternatively, it is possible that these poorly studied oyster populations are periodically exposed to acute salinity changes causing frequent die-off with concomitant loss of genetic diversity. Oysters from Calcasieu Lake had the second lowest mortality at the low-salinity grow-out site. This result was somewhat unexpected as this oyster population has historically been grown in intermediate- to highsalinity waters (Leonhardt et al. 2017). However, it is important to note a reduction of mean monthly salinity in Calcasieu Lake by about 4.3% (i.e., station 3003) in recent years (2009-2014: 19.5%, 2015-2019: 15.2%; LDWF 2018). Increased freshening of the estuary along with overfishing contributed to a loss of 90% of the Calcasieu Lake oyster population (LDWF 2018) and may help explain the relatively low mortality of Calcasieu oysters at the low-salinity grow-out site. It also illustrates how natural and anthropogenic variability, which will likely increase with climate change, can shift the multidirectional selection pressure that ovsters routinely face in estuarine environments.

Similar to mortality, growth rate was significantly different between the low- and moderate-salinity grow-out

sites. Oysters at the moderate-salinity site grew faster than oysters at the low-salinity site as previously reported (Kraeuter et al. 2007; La Peyre et al. 2016). Oyster filtration rate is significantly reduced when food quantity and quality are depressed by low salinity (Riekenberg et al. 2015; Lavaud et al. 2017; Casas et al. 2018). At the lowsalinity site, mean interval growth rate was lowest between mid-June and mid-July $(-0.21 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm/month} \text{ all stocks})$ combined; Table S.1), coinciding with the highest temperatures and lowest salinity experienced through the study duration. Interestingly, the lack of oyster growth from mid-June to mid-July concomitant with an uptick in mortality preceded the mortality peak from mid-July through mid-August, possibly acting as an early indicator of unfavorable conditions in the shorter term but with much deadlier consequences in the longer term.

Generally, oysters at the low-salinity site had a higher condition index than oysters at the moderate-salinity site, which can be attributed to reduced or delayed gonad development and spawning due to low salinity (<10; Butler 1949; Loosanoff 1953; Marshall et al. 2021). At the low-salinity site, oysters from the Calcasieu Lake population had a significantly lower condition index than the other populations, most likely because those oysters were slightly older when salinity became more favorable for spawning in late summer (Figure 4). In general, the relatively high condition in all oysters (>10) was not unexpected for relatively young and small oysters like the ones used in this study, and it is unlikely that the small differences observed between populations impacted overall oyster mortality (Casas et al. 2017).

Oysters at the lower-salinity site experienced both lighter infection intensity and lower overall prevalence of infection compared with the moderate-salinity site, which can be attributed to limited or delayed development of *P. marinus* at lower salinities (Chu and La Peyre 1993; La Peyre et al. 2003; Ragone Calvo and Burreson 2003; Bushek et al. 2012). Additionally, with higher mortalities seen at the site with lighter infection (LUMCON), we concluded that *P. marinus* infection was not a leading cause of differing mortality between stocks in this study. Infection intensity considered high enough to cause mortality (>500,000 parasites/g wet tissue) did not occur in most individuals in this study (La Peyre et al. 2019b).

Overall, our findings indicate differences in low-salinity tolerance between Louisiana oyster populations and provide further evidence that phenotypic differentiation in oysters can occur within relatively small regions. Whether genetic and plastic divergence explains these differences remains to be determined. These findings could be due to local adaptation based on site of origin conditions, but the lack of adequate site-specific water quality data limits our ability to fully support this hypothesis. The progeny of the broodstock collected at the lowest-salinity site (based on best available data), Sabine Lake, did have the lowest mortality and highest growth rate at the low-salinity grow-out site, suggesting potential local adaptation. Increased monitoring of water quality across open-water areas, including bottom water conditions, will be critical to explain these and other findings related to populationspecific oyster tolerances to various conditions. Furthermore, the identification of additional adapted populations and exploration of the underlying molecular mechanisms and genetics associated with low-salinity tolerance may promote the use of adapted stocks in aquaculture, specifically in areas experiencing and predicted to experience low-salinity events.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries for their research grant to the Louisiana Fish and Wildlife Cooperative Research Unit to fund this study and their assistance in collecting broodstock. We also thank Nicholas Coxe, Sarah Bodenstein, Jordan Logarbo, and Sarah Catherine LeBlanc Buie for their help in sampling oysters. We thank Dr. Kevin Johnson for comments that improved this manuscript. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. There is no conflict of interest declared in this article.

REFERENCES

Barber, B. J., S. E. Ford, and R. N. Wargo. 1991. Genetic variation in the timing of gonadal maturation and spawning of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). The Biological Bulletin 181:216–221.

Bayne, B. L. 2017. Biology of oysters. Academic Press, London.

- Beck, M. W., R. D. Brumbaugh, L. Airoldi, A. Carranza, L. D. Coen, C. Crawford, O. Defeo, G. J. Edgar, B. Hancock, M. C. Kay, H. S. Lenihan, M. W. Luckenbach, C. L. Toropova, G. Zhang, and X. Guo. 2011. Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation, restoration, and management. BioScience 61:107–116.
- Beseres Pollack, J., A. Cleveland, T. A. Palmer, A. S. Reisinger, and P. A. Montagna. 2012. A restoration suitability index model for the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) in the Mission-Aransas Estuary, TX, USA. PLOS (Public Library of Science) ONE 7(7):e40839.
- Bitter, M. C., J. M. Wong, H. G. Dam, S. C. Donelan, C. D. Kenkel, L. M. Komoroske, K. J. Nickols, E. B. Rivest, S. Salinas, S. C. Burgess, and K. E. Lotterhos. 2021. Fluctuating selection and global change: a synthesis and review on disentangling the roles of climate amplitude, predictability and novelty. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 288:20210727.
- Burford, M., J. Scarpa, B. Cook, and M. P. Hare. 2014. Local adaptation of a marine invertebrate with a high dispersal potential: evidence from a reciprocal transplant experiment of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 505:161–175.
- Bushek, D., S. E. Ford, and I. Burt. 2012. Long-term patterns of an estuarine pathogen along a salinity gradient. Journal of Marine Research 70:225–251.
- Butler, P. A. 1949. Gametogenesis in the oyster under conditions of depressed salinity. The Biological Bulletin 96:263–269.

- Carter, L., A. J. Terando, K. Dow, K. Hiers, K. E. Kunkel, A. R. Lascurain, D. Marcy, M. J. Osland, and P. Schramm. 2018. Southeast. Pages 743–808 in D. R. Reidmiller, C. W. Avery, D. R. Easterling, K. E. Kunkel, K. L. M. Lewis, T. K. Maycock, and B. C. Steward, editors. Impacts, risks, and adaptation in the United States: fourth national climate assessment, volume II. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, D.C.
- Casas, S., W. Walton, G. Chaplin, S. Rikard, J. Supan, and J. La Peyre. 2017. Performance of oysters selected for dermo resistance compared to wild oysters in northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries. Aquaculture Environment Interactions 9:169–180.
- Casas, S. M., R. Lavaud, M. K. La Peyre, L. A. Comeau, R. Filgueira, and J. F. La Peyre. 2018. Quantifying salinity and season effects on eastern oyster clearance and oxygen consumption rates. Marine Biology 165:article 90.
- Chu, F. L. E., and J. F. La Peyre. 1993. *Perkinsus marinus* susceptibility and defense-related activities in eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica*: temperature effects. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 16:223–224.
- Coen, L. D., R. D. Brumbaugh, D. Bushek, R. Grizzle, M. W. Luckenbach, M. H. Posey, S. P. Powers, and S. G. Tolley. 2007. Ecosystem services related to oyster restoration. Marine Ecology Progress Series 341:303–307.
- CPRA (Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana). 2017. Louisiana's comprehensive master plan for a sustainable coast. OTS-State Printing, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- CPRA (Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana). 2021. Coastwide reference monitoring system–wetlands monitoring data. Retrieved from Coastal Information Management System (CIMS) database. Available: http://cims.coastal.louisiana.gov. (August 2021).
- Das, A., D. Justic, M. Inoue, A. Hoda, H. Huang, and D. Park. 2012. Impacts of Mississippi River diversions on salinity gradients in a deltaic Louisiana estuary: ecological and management implications. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 111:17–26.
- de Jong, G. 1995. Phenotypic plasticity as a product of selection in a variable environment. The American Naturalist 145:493–512.
- Dittman, D., S. Ford, and H. Haskin. 1998. Growth patterns in oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, from different estuaries. Marine Biology 132:461–469.
- Griffiths, J. S., K. M. Johnson, and M. W. Kelly. 2021. Evolutionary change in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, following low salinity exposure. Integrative and Comparative Biology 61:1730–1740.
- Guo, X., C. Li, H. Wang, and Z. Xu. 2018. Diversity and evolution of living oysters. Journal of Shellfish Research 37:755–771.
- Haven, D. 1960. Seasonal cycle of condition index on oysters in the York and Rappahannock rivers. Proceedings of the National Shellfisheries Association 51:42–66.
- Hawkins, A. J., and A. J. Day. 1999. Metabolic interrelations underlying the physiological and evolutionary advantages of genetic diversity. American Zoologist 39:401–411.
- Hilbish, T. J., and R. K. Koehn. 1987. The adaptive importance of genetic variation. American Scientist 75:134–141.
- Johnson, K. M., and M. W. Kelly. 2020. Population epigenetic divergence exceeds genetic divergence in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Evolutionary Applications 13:945–959.
- Kassen, R. 2002. The experimental evolution of specialists, generalists, and the maintenance of diversity. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 15:173–190.
- Kraeuter, J. N., S. Ford, and M. Cummings. 2007. Oyster growth analysis: a comparison of methods. Journal of Shellfish Research 26: 479–491.
- La Peyre, M. K., D. Aguilar Marshall, L. S. Miller, and A. T. Humphries. 2019a. Oyster reefs in northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries harbor diverse fish and decapod crustacean assemblages: a meta-synthesis. Frontiers in Marine Science 6:666.

- La Peyre, J. F., S. M. Casas, M. Richards, W. Xu, and Q. Xue. 2019b. Testing plasma subtilisin inhibitory activity as a selective marker for dermo resistance in eastern oysters. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 133:127–139.
- La Peyre, M. K., J. Geaghan, G. Decossas, and J. F. La Peyre. 2016. Analysis of environmental factors influencing salinity patterns, oyster growth, and mortality in lower Breton Sound Estuary, Louisiana, using 20 years of data. Journal of Coastal Research 32:519–530.
- La Peyre, M. K., A. D. Nickens, A. K. Volety, G. S. Tolley, and J. F. La Peyre. 2003. Environmental significance of freshets in reducing *Perkinsus marinus* infection in eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica*: potential management applications. Marine Ecology Progress Series 248:165–176.
- Laevastu, T., and I. Hela. 1970. Fisheries oceanography: new ocean environmental services. Fishing News (Books) Ltd, London.
- Lavaud, R., M. K. La Peyre, D. Justic, and J. F. La Peyre. 2021. Dynamic energy budget modeling to predict eastern oyster growth, reproduction, and mortality under river management and climate change scenarios. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 251:107188.
- Lavaud, R., M. K. La Peyre, S. M. Casas, C. Bacher, and J. F. La Peyre. 2017. Integrating the effects of salinity on the physiology of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, in the northern Gulf of Mexico through a dynamic energy budget model. Ecological Modelling 363:221–233.
- Lawrence, D. R., and G. I. Scott. 1982. The determination and use of condition index of oysters. Estuaries 5:23–27.
- LDWF (Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries). 2018. LDWF marine fisheries independent sampling, field manual 2018. LDWF, Baton Rouge.
- LDWF (Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries). 2019. 2017 Stock assessment report of the public oyster seed grounds and reservations of Louisiana. LDWF, Oyster Data Report Series 23, Baton Rouge.
- Leonhardt, J. M., S. Casas, J. E. Supan, and J. F. La Peyre. 2017. Stock assessment for eastern oyster seed production and field grow-out in Louisiana. Aquaculture 466:9–19.
- Loosanoff, V. L. 1953. Behavior of oysters in water of low salinities. Proceedings of the National Shellfisheries Association 43:135–151.
- Lowe, M. R., T. Sehlinger, T. M. Soniat, and M. K. La Peyre. 2017. Interactive effects of water temperature and salinity on growth and mortality of eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*: a meta-analysis using 40 years of monitoring data. Journal of Shellfish Research 36:683–697.
- LUMCON (Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium). 2021. Marine Center Environmental Monitoring Station data. Retrieved from LUMCON Weather Station. Available: http://weatherstations.lumcon. edu/index.html. (April 2021).
- Mann, R. L. 1992. A comparison of methods for calculating condition index in eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791). Journal of Shellfish Research 11:55–58.
- Marshall, D. A., S. M. Casas, W. C. Walton, F. S. Rikard, T. A. Palmer, N. Breaux, M. K. La Peyre, J. B. Pollack, M. Kelly, J. F. La Peyre. 2021a. Divergence in salinity tolerance of northern Gulf of Mexico eastern oysters under field and laboratory exposure. Conservation Physiology 9:coab065.
- Marshall, D. A., N. C. Coxe, M. K. La Peyre, W. C. Walton, F. S. Rikard, J. B. Pollack, M. W. Kelly, and J. F. La Peyre. 2021b. Tolerance of northern Gulf of Mexico eastern oysters to chronic warming at extreme salinities. Journal of Thermal Biology 100:103072.
- Maxwell, V. J., J. Supan, L. C. Schiavinato, S. Showalter, and G. D. Treece. 2008. Aquaculture parks in the coastal zone: a review of legal

and policy issues in the Gulf of Mexico state waters. Coastal Management 36:241-253.

- McCarty, A. J., K. McFarland, J. Small, S. K. Allen, and L. V. Plough. 2020. Heritability of acute low salinity survival in the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*). Aquaculture 529:735649.
- Miller, L. S., J. La Peyre, and M. La Peyre. 2017. Suitability of oyster restoration sites along the Louisiana coast: examining site and stock site interaction. Journal of Shellfish Research 36:341–352.
- NOAA (National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration). 2020. Commercial fisheries statistics, annual landings. Available: https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/commercial-fisheries/commerciallandings/annual-landings/index. (April 2020).
- Powell, E. J., and B. D. Keim. 2015. Trends in daily temperature and precipitation extremes for the southeastern United States: 1948–2012. Journal of Climate 28:1592–1612.
- R Core Team. 2020. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available: http://www.R-project.org.
- Ragone Calvo, L. M., and E. M. Burreson. 2003. Status of the major oyster diseases in Virginia, 2002. A summary of the annual monitoring program. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William & Mary, Marine Resource Report 2003-02, Williamsburg, Virginia
- Reed, D. H. 2005. Relationship between population size and fitness. Conservation Biology 19:563–568.
- Riekenberg, J., S. Bargu, and R. Twilley. 2015. Phytoplankton community shifts and harmful algae presence in a diversion-influenced estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 38:2213–2226.
- Shumway, S. E. 1996. Natural environmental factors. Pages 467–513 in V. S. Kennedy, R. I. E Newell, and A. F. Eble, editors. The eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. Maryland Sea Grant College, College Park, Maryland.
- Soniat, T. M., C. P. Conzelmann, J. D. Byrd, D. P. Roszell, J. L. Bridevaux, K. J. Suir, and S. B. Colley. 2013. Predicting the effects of proposed Mississippi River diversions on oyster habitat quality; application of an oyster habitat suitability index model. Journal of Shellfish Research 32:629–638.
- USGS (United States Geological Survey of U.S. Department of the Interior). 2021. USGS current conditions for the nation. Retrieved from National Water Information System: Web Interface. Available: https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv/?. (August 2021).
- Via, S., R. Gomulkiewicz, G. de Jong, S. M. Scheiner, C. D. Schlichting, and P. H. Van Tienderen. 1995. Adaptive phenotypic plasticity: consensus and controversy. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 10: 212–217.
- Wadsworth, P., S. Casas, J. La Peyre, and W. Walton. 2019. Elevated mortalities of triploid eastern oysters cultured off-bottom in northern Gulf of Mexico. Aquaculture 505:363–373.
- Wallace, R. K., P. Waters, and F. S. Rikard. 2008. Oyster hatchery techniques. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, Publication 4302, Stoneville, Mississippi.
- Walton, W. C., J. E. Davis, and J. Supan. 2013. Off-bottom culture of oysters in the Gulf of Mexico. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, Publication 4308, Stoneville, Mississippi.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supplemental material may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.